# **Watershed Report**

## Middle Wabash-Little Vermilion. Illinois, Indiana.

## Land Use

	Total (Ac.)	Crops (Ac.)	% of Total	Forest (Ac.)	% of Total	Water/Wetland (Ac.)	% of Total	Pasture/Hay (Ac.)	% of Total	Urban (Ac.)	% of Total	No Data (Ac.)	% of Total
<u>Benton</u>	127,927	111.854	8.42	1,661	0.12	115	0.01	42	0.00	1,461	0.11	0	0.00
Boone	21,404	17,215	1.30	546	0.04	20	0.00	402	0.03	108	0.01	20	0.00
Fountain	226,746	152,264	11.46	33,931	2.55	1,806	0.14	4,799	0.36	2,519	0.19	0	0.00
<b>Hendricks</b>	2,998	2,439	0.18	59	0.00	0	0.00	35	0.00	2	0.00	0	0.00
Montgomery	123,219	100,639	7.57	5,481	0.41	318	0.02	1,090	0.08	1,644	0.12	22	0.00
<u>Parke</u>	212,018	93,459	7.03	70,868	5.33	4,023	0.30	5,303	0.40	3,134	0.24	1	0.00
<u>Putnam</u>	59,995	36,431	2.74	11,379	0.86	204	0.02	1,548	0.12	590	0.04	16	0.00
Tippecanoe	236,287	148,548	11.18	20,124	1.51	1,972	0.15	4,752	0.36	13,278	1.00	1	0.00
Vermillion	110,791	58,411	4.40	21,484	1.62	2,415	0.18	215	0.02	6,209	0.47	0	0.00
<u>Vigo</u>	1,436	617	0.05	338	0.03	36	0.00	57	0.00	20	0.00	0	0.00
Warren	186,477	119,849	9.02	26,538	2.00	1,358	0.10	209	0.02	6,324	0.48	0	0.00
<u>White</u>	19,604	18,127	1.36	75	0.01	20	0.00	26	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
Totals	1,328,903	859,854	64.70	192,483	14.48	12,289	0.92	18,476	1.39	35,289	2.66	60	0.00

% = Percent T & E = Threatened and Endangered CFO = Confined Feeding Operation CAFO = Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation AU = Animal Units Ft. = Feet

Ac. = Acres

# = Number Mi. = Miles

Data Source = National Ag Statistics Service, 2006, <a href="https://www.nass.usda.gov/research/Cropland/SARS1a.htm">https://www.nass.usda.gov/research/Cropland/SARS1a.htm</a>) % Crop = Sum of the acres of corn, soybeans, wheat, other small grains, etc. divided by the total acres in the watershed.

**<sup>\*\*</sup>Pasture/Hay** = Sum of the acres of pasture, hay, and idle land divided by the total acres in the watershed.

 <sup>%</sup> Forest = Sum of the acres of forest land divided by the total acres in the watershed.
 % Urban = Sum of the acres of residential and urban land divided by the total acres in the watershed.

<sup>%</sup> Water/Wetland = Sum of the acres of streams, lakes, ponds, etc. divided by the total acres in the watershed.

<sup>%</sup> Data Not Available = Sum of the acres of clouds on arial photographs divided by the total acres in the watershed.

Public Lands								
	Public Lands (Ac.)	% of Total						
Benton	945	0.07						
Boone	0	0.00						
Fountain	534	0.04						
Hendricks	0	0.00						
Montgomery	0	0.00						
<u>Parke</u>	4,341	0.33						
Putnam	0	0.00						
Tippecanoe	2,168	0.16						
Vermillion	8,793	0.66						
Vigo	0	0.00						
Warren	246	0.02						
White	0	0.00						
Totals	17,027	1.28						

**Data Source** = Indiana Department of Natural Resources (State-Managed Lands), 2004; Hoosier National Forest - U.S. Forest Service, 2004 and Patoka River USFWS, 2003 (Federal-Managed Lands)

% **Public** = Sum of the acres of federal, state, and local government land divided by the total acres in the watershed.

	Cropland Types													
											Pasture/			
	Crop (Ac.)	% of Total	Corn (Ac.)		Wheat (Ac.)		Other (Ac.)	% of Total			Grass (Ac.)	% of Total		
<u>Benton</u>	111,854	8.42	59,059	4.44	178	0.01	2,608	0.20	42	0.00	12,141	0.91		
<u>Boone</u>	17,215	1.30	9,207	0.69	700	0.05	212	0.02	402	0.03	3,422	0.26		
<u>Fountain</u>	152,264	11.46	76,255	5.74	2,249	0.17	2,816	0.21	4,799	0.36	34,193	2.57		
<u>Hendricks</u>	2,439	0.18	1,264	0.10	5	0.00	19	0.00	35	0.00	482	0.04		
Montgomery	100,639	7.57	49,175	3.70	768	0.06	1,369	0.10	1,090	0.08	14,592	1.10		
<u>Parke</u>	93,459	7.03	49,636	3.74	3,006	0.23	2,650	0.20	5,303	0.40	37,791	2.84		
<u>Putnam</u>	36,431	2.74	18,250	1.37	863	0.06	874	0.07	1,548	0.12	10,591	0.80		
<u>Tippecanoe</u>	148,548	11.18	72,382	5.45	2,814	0.21	3,434	0.26	4,752	0.36	49,918	3.76		
<u>Vermillion</u>	58,411	4.40	30,483	2.29	602	0.05	1,823	0.14	215	0.02	21,790	1.64		
<u>Vigo</u>	617	0.05	363	0.03	29	0.00	32	0.00	57	0.00	366	0.03		
<u>Warren</u>	119,849	9.02	60,394	4.54	855	0.06	3,308	0.25	209	0.02	31,569	2.38		
<u>White</u>	18,127	1.36	8,941	0.67	67	0.01	99	0.01	26	0.00	1,340	0.10		
Totals	859,854	64.70	435,408	32.76	12,135	0.91	19,244	1.45	18,476	1.39	218,194	16.42		

Data Source = National Ag Statistics Service, 2006, <a href="http://www.nass.usda.gov/research/Cropland/SARS1a.htm">http://www.nass.usda.gov/research/Cropland/SARS1a.htm</a>)

% **Corn** = Acres of corn divided by the sum of all row crop, hay, and pasture acres in the watershed.

% Beans = Acres of soybeans + double-crop soybeans/wheat divided by the sum of all row crop, hay, and pasture acres in the watershed.

% Wheat = Acres of wheat divided by the sum of all row crop, hay, and pasture acres in the watershed.

% Other Row Crop = Difference of the sum of the acres of corn, soybeans, wheat, hay, and pasture minus total cropland acres in

the watershed divided by total crop, hay, and pasture acres in the watershed.

\*\*May\* = Acres of hay divided by the sum of all row crop, hay, and pasture acres in the watershed.

% Pasture = Acres of pasture divided by the sum of all row crop, hay, and pasture acres in the watershed.

Beef and Swine Processing												
	Beef Plants	Beef Animals	Swine Plants	Swine Animals								
<u>Benton</u>	0	0	0	0								
<u>Boone</u>	0	0	0	0								
<u>Fountain</u>	0	0	0	0								
<u>Hendricks</u>	0	0	0	0								
Montgomery	2	907	2	593								
<u>Parke</u>	0	0	0	0								
<u>Putnam</u>	0	0	0	0								
<u>Tippecanoe</u>	2	990	2	1,246								
Vermillion	1	162	1	222								
<u>Vigo</u>	0	0	0	0								
<u>Warren</u>	0	0	0	0								
<u>White</u>	0	0	0	0								
Totals	5	2,059	5	2,061								

**Data Source** = Indiana Board of Animal Health, 2006 (Slaughter Processing), <a href="http://www.in.gov/boah/food\_safety/inspection/meat\_poulty.html">http://www.in.gov/boah/food\_safety/inspection/meat\_poulty.html</a>>

Commed Livestock 2000													
	CAFO/CFO	Da	iry	В	eef	Sı	wine	Pou	ıltry	She	ер		
		Farms	Animals										
<u>Benton</u>	4	0	0	1	450	3	4,539	0	0	0	0		
<u>Boone</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
<u>Fountain</u>	7	1	3,385	0	0	6	13,445	0	0	0	0		
<u>Hendricks</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
<u>Montgomery</u>	17	1	100	2	800	16	63,812	0	0	0	0		
<u>Parke</u>	6	0	0	0	0	6	15,152	0	0	0	0		
<u>Putnam</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
<u>Tippecanoe</u>	20	1	540	2	1,280	19	29,477	1	61,850	2	540		
<u>Vermillion</u>	5	0	0	0	0	5	35,025	0	0	0	0		
<u>Vigo</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
<u>Warren</u>	6	1	425	0	0	5	12,127	0	0	0	0		
<u>White</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Totals	65	4	4,450	5	2,530	60	173,577	1	61,850	2	540		

Confined Livestock 2006

Data Source = Indiana Department of Environmental Management, Office of Land Quality, 2007, <a href="http://www.state.in.us/idem/agriculture/livestock/cfo/index.html">http://www.state.in.us/idem/agriculture/livestock/cfo/index.html</a> Confined Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) = (U. S. Environmental Protection Agency definition) Operations with at least one of the following: 200 dairy cows; 300 veal calves; 300 befe cattle; 750 swine 55 pounds or more; 3000 swine under 55 pounds; 150 horses; 3000 sheep or lambs; 16,500 turkeys; 9000 chickens (liquid manure); 25,000 chickens - laying hens (not liquid manure); 37,500 chickens - not laying hens (not liquid manure); 1,500 ducks (liquid manure); or 10,000 ducks (not liquid manure). Confined Feeding Operation (CFO) = (Indiana Department of Environmental Management definition) = Operations with at least one of the following: 300 cattle; 600 swine or sheep; or 30,000 poultry.

Ac. = Acres

% = Percent

T & E = Threatened and Endangered

CFO = Confined Feeding Operation

CAFO = Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation

AU = Animal Units

Ft. = Feet # = Number

#### **Biofuel Plants** Ethanol Biodiesel Benton 0 <u>Boone</u> 0 0 <u>Fountain</u> 0 0 **Hendricks** 0 0 Montgomery <u>Parke</u> 0 <u>Putnam</u> 0 0 Tippecanoe 0 0 Vermillion 0 0 Vigo 0 0 Warren 0 0 White 0 0 Totals

Data Source = Indiana Department of Transportation, 2006 (Biofuels Processing),

<a href="http://www.in.gov/isda/biofuels/">http://www.in.gov/isda/biofuels/</a>

Surface and	l Groundwater	Resource	Concern	<b>Areas</b>

	Impaired	Impaired	Wellhead	Karst	
	Streams (Mi.)	Lakes (Ac.)	Protection (Ac.)	(Ac.)	% Karst
<u>Benton</u>	0.00	0	1,089	0	0.00
<u>Boone</u>	0.00	0	1,226	0	0.00
<u>Fountain</u>	117.81	0	2,155	0	0.00
<u>Hendricks</u>	0.00	0	0	0	0.00
Montgomery	7.50	0	3,021	0	0.00
<u>Parke</u>	31.71	0	4,264	0	0.00
<u>Putnam</u>	0.36	0	1,838	0	0.00
<u>Tippecanoe</u>	29.00	0	11,669	0	0.00
<u>Vermillion</u>	20.99	0	6,680	0	0.00
<u>Vigo</u>	0.44	0	0	0	0.00
<u>Warren</u>	17.59	0	921	0	0.00
<u>White</u>	11.73	0	0	0	0.00
Totals	237.14	0	32,861	0	0.00

Data Source (Impaired Water Bodies) = Indiana Department of Environmental Management 303(d) List, http://www.state.in.us/idem/programs/water/303d/index.html

303(d)-listed streams = are impaired waterbodies that have been identified by IDEM as exceeding threshold limits of specific contaminants.

**Data Source** (Wellhead Protection Areas) = Indiana Department of Environmental Management, <a href="http://www.in.gov/idem/programs/water/swp/whpp/">http://www.in.gov/idem/programs/water/swp/whpp/></a>

Data Source (Karst) = Karst Data, 2002, Indiana NRCS, data unpublished

% = Percent T & E = Threatened and Endangered CFO = Confined Feeding Operation CAFO = Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation AU = Animal Units Ft. = Feet # = Number

Ac. = Acres

## **Soils-Based Resource Concerns and Analyses**

		Hydric (Ac.)	%	Leaching Index >= 10 (Ac.)	%	Subsurface Drainage= H/VH (Ac.)	%	Soil Erosion (Wind) >500 (Ac.)	%	Potential for Frequent Flooding (Ac.)	%	Surface Runoff Class =H/VH (Ac.)	%	Soil Erosion (Water) >37 (Ac.)	%	Sheet/Rill Erosion Potential Between 1T & 2T (Ac.)	%	Sheet/Rill Erosion Potential >=2 (Ac.)	%
Ber	<u>iton</u>	50,506	3.80	1,319	0.10	92,766	6.98	302	0.02	1,417	0.11	9,167	0.69	12,274	0.92	1,664	0.13	0	0.00
Boo	<u>one</u>	8,046	0.61	13,427	1.01	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	2,508	0.19	190	0.01	16	0.00	9	0.00
Fou	<u>ntain</u>	47,883	3.60	5,412	0.41	260	0.02	759	0.06	8,095	0.61	16,254	1.22	56,592	4.26	6,628	0.50	6,204	0.47
Her	<u>ndricks</u>	1,301	0.10	1,608	0.12	2,826	0.21	0	0.00	62	0.00	168	0.01	44	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
Mor	ntgomery	30,456	2.29	118	0.01	83,990	6.32	0	0.00	1,481	0.11	6,536	0.49	22,707	1.71	997	0.08	1,005	0.08
<u>Par</u>	<u>ke</u>	9,914	0.75	139,091	10.47	62,047	4.67	0	0.00	33,179	2.50	47,033	3.54	85,714	6.45	20,363	1.53	34,407	2.59
Put	<u>nam</u>	6,870	0.52	45,589	3.43	25,437	1.91	0	0.00	7,705	0.58	11,663	0.88	28,609	2.15	1,305	0.10	5,378	0.40
Tip	<u>oecanoe</u>	65,041	4.89	25,116	1.89	133,877	10.07	4,507	0.34	5,918	0.45	16,087	1.21	26,485	1.99	6,915	0.52	123	0.01
Ver	million_	17,119	1.29	4,558	0.34	44,330	3.34	203	0.02	18,430	1.39	11,998	0.90	20,928	1.57	2,178	0.16	8,874	0.67
Vig	<u>o</u>	275	0.02	1,365	0.10	560	0.04	27	0.00	106	0.01	162	0.01	288	0.02	65	0.00	8	0.00
Wa	rren	33,418	2.51	5,471	0.41	73,865	5.56	993	0.07	7,265	0.55	24,082	1.81	75,126	5.65	6,420	0.48	12,982	0.98
Wh	<u>ite</u>	13,019	0.98	97	0.01	16,410	1.23	49	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	168	0.01	0	0.00	0	0.00
Tot	tals	283,848	21.36	243,171	18.30	536,368	40.36	6,839	0.51	83,658	6.30	145,658	10.96	329,125	24.77	46,551	3.50	68,990	5.19

Data Source (Hydric Soils) = NRCS Soil Data Mart (2007) - <a href="http://soildatamart.nrcs.usda.gov/">http://soildatamart.nrcs.usda.gov/</a>. A soil mapunit was considered hydric if a majority of its component soils is hydric.

Data Source (Sheet/Rill Erosion Potential) = NRCS Soil Data Mart, 2007, <a href="http://soildatamart.nrcs.usda.gov/">http://soildatamart.nrcs.usda.gov/</a> and the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation, Version 2 (RUSLE2). Erosion potential is based on the RUSLE2 calculation for the soil with a "C" Factor equal to that of a typical cropland management system used in Indiana (no-till soybeans, followed by chisel-plowed corn with an injected anhydrous application). Soils under this management system between 1 and 2 times of tolerable limits are eroding above sustainable levels; soils under this management systems that leave more residue on the surface, those with less soil disturbance, crop rotations with higher-residue crops, the will decrease soil erosion above the typical cropland system. Management systems that leave less residue, disturb the soil more, and those with crop rotation with lower-residue crops may increase soil erosion above the typical cropland system.

Data Source (Leach Index, Wind Erosion, Water Erosion, Flood Potential, and Surface and Subsurface Drainage) = NRCS Soil Data Mart, 2007, <a href="https://soildatamart.nrcs.usda.gov/">https://soildatamart.nrcs.usda.gov/</a> and the NRCS Indiana Nutrient Management (590) Standard (Section IV of the Indiana Electronic Field Office Technical Guide (eFOTG)) <a href="https://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/efotg">https://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/efotg</a> locator.aspx?map=IN>. NOTE: Because climatic and other data elements may be country-based, threshold values may differ among adjacent counties and result in about data thresholds.

Hydric soils = Characterized by, relating to, or requiring an abundance of water, hydric soils are indicators of wetlands, which represent unique management considerations including groundwater impacts, crop production limitations, wildlife considerations etc.

**Leach Index** = soils with a relatively high risk of water percolating below the crop root zone; developed using annual precipitation, rainfall distribution data and hydrologic soil groups. **Subsurface Drainage** = soils with a relatively high risk of having subsurface drainage; determined from a matrix based on soil drainage class and depth to seasonal high water, and the presence of artificial subsurface drainage and surface tile inlets.

Soil Erosion (Wind) = soils with a relatively high risk of eroding by wind; determined from a location's C (Climate) Factor and a soil's Soil Erodibility Index (I).

Flooding Potential = soils with a relatively frequent risk of being covered by flowing water from any source; determined from the NRCS soil survey.

Surface Runoff Class = soils with a relatively high relative risk of soil solution movement from the surface of a management unit; determined using soil permeability and percent slope.

Soil Erosion (Water) = soils with a relatively high risk of eroding by water; determined from a location's R (Rainfall-Runoff Erosivity) Factor, and a soil's K (Soil Erodibility) and LS (Length-Slope) factors.

Ac. = Acres % = Percent

T & E = Threatened and Endangered

CFO = Confined Feeding Operation

CAFO = Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation

AU = Animal Units

Ft. = Feet

# = Number

	Water Resources													
	Standing Water (Ac.)	Streams (Mi.)	1st Order (Mi.)	2nd Order (Mi.)	3rd Order (Mi.)	4th Order (Mi.)	5th Order (Mi.)	6th+ Order (Mi.)	Stream Order Unavailable (Mi.)					
<u>Benton</u>	20	212.38	126.20	43.29	25.86	11.44	0.00	0.00	5.58					
<u>Boone</u>	10	15.28	3.72	8.39	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.17					
<u>Fountain</u>	229	430.70	258.46	102.70	30.68	19.04	0.00	18.34	1.48					
<u>Hendricks</u>	0	2.62	2.62	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00					
<u>Montgomery</u>	319	147.31	107.51	37.62	2.17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00					
<u>Parke</u>	2,515	467.13	265.03	71.18	41.96	37.63	14.73	18.09	18.49					
<u>Putnam</u>	53	64.61	43.30	5.17	15.66	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.48					
<u>Tippecanoe</u>	245	319.32	174.53	53.77	48.87	3.32	0.00	18.74	20.09					
<u>Vermillion</u>	307	193.58	90.48	33.05	19.62	20.50	0.00	20.98	8.94					
<u>Vigo</u>	0	4.33	1.38	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.23	0.44	2.15					
<u>Warren</u>	195	365.58	229.29	70.45	16.96	19.31	13.14	15.26	1.18					
<u>White</u>	14	17.36	13.30	3.45	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.61					
Totals	3,907	2,240.19	1,315.84	429.09	201.89	111.24	28.10	91.86	62.17					

Data Source = National Hydrography Data - U.S. Geological Survey, 2006, <a href="http://www.horizon-systems.com/nhdplus/">http://www.horizon-systems.com/nhdplus/</a>

Stream Order = A hierarchal stream classification system. The confluence of two first order streams forms a second order stream; the confluence of two second order streams forms a third order stream; etc. Generally, larger order streams (such as the Ohio or Mississippi Rivers) have more volume, depth and channel width. They also are located in the lower reaches of watersheds. First order streams (unforked or unbranched streams) are in the upper reaches of watersheds.

	Air Resou	ırce Concern Areas
		% of
		Watershed
<b>Bent</b>	<u>on</u>	0.00
Boor	<u>ie</u>	1.61
Foun	<u>tain</u>	0.00
Henc	<u>Iricks</u>	0.23
Mont	gomery	0.00
Park	<u>e</u>	0.00
Putn	<u>am</u>	0.00
Tippe	ecanoe	0.00
Vern	nillion	0.00
Vigo		0.11
Warr	<u>en</u>	0.00
Whit	<u>e</u>	0.00
Tota	als	1.94

**Data Source** = Environmental Protection Agency, 2006, data no longer published. 2007 data is available

<http://www.epa.gov/air/data/nonat.html?st~IN~India
na>.

Unique Habitat Areas												
Ac. Within Range of Known T & E Species	% of Watershed Within Range of Known T & E Species	Natural Communities (Ac.)	Permanent Easement (Ac.)	% of Watershed in Permanent Easement								
242,762	18.27	1,322	37,243	2.80								
Data Source (Thro	Anta Source (Threatened & Endangered Species and Natural Communities) - Indiana											

Data Source (Threatened & Endangered Species and Natural Communities) = Indiana Department of Natural Resources, Division of Nature Preserves; Analysis by NRCS, 2007, data source is not public. Habitat ranges indicate the likely life-history range surrounding known locations of threatened & endangered species (state and federal listed) that have the potential to be used by the species (ranges for plants = point - 0 miles; amphibians/reptiles/insects/aquatic species = ¼ - ½ mile; mammals/birds = 1 mile).

**Data Source** (Natural Communities) = Areas identified and classified by the IDNR as unique/rare (data include the Natural Community acreage + ¼ mile buffer), data not published.

 $\textbf{Data Source} \ (\text{Permanent Easements}) = \text{Indiana NRCS (Wetlands Reserve Program), 2007, } \\ \text{data not published}$ 

	Farm Census Data													
	Farms	Farms <10 Ac.	Farms <50 Ac.	Farms <180 Ac.	Farms <500 Ac.	Farms <1000 Ac.	Farms >1000 Ac.	Minority Farmers	Full Time Farmers	Part Time Farmers				
<u>Benton</u>	190	13	19	29	48	39	43	2	37	70				
<u>Boone</u>	51	9	16	9	6	5	5	0	8	23				
<u>Fountain</u>	428	25	93	139	66	43	61	4	79	144				
<u>Hendricks</u>	8	1	3	2	1	1	1	0	1	4				
Montgomery	244	24	68	50	41	27	34	3	32	99				
<u>Parke</u>	348	15	101	107	64	26	36	6	61	147				
<u>Putnam</u>	168	11	63	52	23	9	9	1	21	83				
Tippecanoe	514	79	176	104	66	36	53	7	69	249				
Vermillion	142	5	37	39	24	13	24	2	20	53				
<u>Vigo</u>	4	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	2				
Warren	276	18	75	67	45	26	46	2	46	137				
<u>White</u>	41	4	10	7	8	6	6	1	8	16				
Totals	2,414	204	662	606	392	231	318	28	383	1,027				

**Data Source** = National Ag Statistics Service 2002 Census of Agriculture (<a href="http://www.nass.usda.gov/census/census/2/volume1/in/index2.htm">http://www.nass.usda.gov/census/census/2/volume1/in/index2.htm</a>). Estimates for each watershed were derived from county values based on the percentage of each county in the watershed.

Ac. = Acres % = Percent

T & E = Threatened and Endangered

CFO = Confined Feeding Operation

CAFO = Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation

AU = Animal Units

Ft. = Feet

# = Number

## **NRCS Practices**

Year:	Vegetative Agronomic Practices (Ac.)	No Till (Ac.)	Mulch Till (Ac.)	Upland Buffers (Ft.)	Aquatic Buffers (Ac.)	Grazing Practices (Ac.)	Nutrient Mgt. (Ac.)	Pest Mgt. (Ac.)	Irrigation (Ac.)	CNMPs (#)	Gully Erosion Control (Ac.)	Gully Control Structures (#)	Wildlife Habitat (Ac.)	Forestry Practices (Ac.)	Confined Livestock Waste Storage (#)	Wetland Practices (Ac.)
2007	2,610	17,650	5,306	106,950	130	1,305	6,782	5,817	0	0	133	55	7,807	380	0	365
2006	0	3,265	626	0	0	715	0	1,864	Ō	Ō	0	Ö	7,123	265	0	296
2005	0	15,874	7,667	193,263	186	377	0	4,106	0	14	0	0	571	237	0	1,169
2004	0	7,057	2,731	25,650	146	765	0	0	0	0	0	0	154	162	0	0
2003	0	9,284	5,363	10,611	459	144	0	7,202	0	16	0	0	2,454	286	0	259
2002	0	11 770	3 604	37 939	514	211	0	6.320	0	4	0	0	1 627	622	0	359

Data Source = NRCS Performance Results System Reports, 2007, <a href="http://ias.sc.eqov.usda.gov/prshome/index.aspx">http://ias.sc.eqov.usda.gov/prshome/index.aspx</a>.

Vegetative Agronomic Practices = Acres of Conservation Cover (327) + 342 (Critical Area Planting) + 340 (Cover Crops) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Upland Buffers = Feet of Field Border (386) + Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment (380) + Hedgerow Planting (422) + Windbreak/Shelterbelt Renovation (650) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Aquatic Buffers = Acres of Filter Strips (393) + Riparian Forest Buffers (391) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

**Grazing Practices** – Acres of Prescribed Grazing (528 and 528A) + Pasture and Hayland Planting (512) practices installed in the given fiscal year. **Nutrient Mgmt** – Acres of Nutrient Management (590) + Waste Utilization (633) practices installed in the given fiscal year. **Pest Mgmt** – Acres of Pest Management (595) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Irrigation = Acres of Irrigation System, Microirrigation (441) + Irrigation System, Sprinkler (442) + Irrigation System, Surface and Subsurface (443) + Irrigation Water Management (449) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

**CNMPs** = Number of Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plans written in the given fiscal year. **Gully Control - grassed waterways** = Acres of Grassed Waterway (412) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Gully Control - other = Acres of Grade Stabilization Structure (410) + Water and Sediment Control Basin (638) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Wildlife habitat = Acres of Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (645) + Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management (647) + Restoration and Management of Rare and Declining Habitats (653) + Early Successional Habitat Development/Management (647)

practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Forestry Practices = Acres of Tree/Shrub Establishment (612) + Forest Stand Improvement (666) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Confined Livestock Waste Storage Facilities = Number of Waste Storage Facility (313) + Composting Facility (317) + Waste Treatment Lagoon (359) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Wetland Practices = Acres of Wetland Restoration (657) + Wetland Creation (658) + Wetland Enhancement (659) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Ac. = Acres % = Percent T & E = Threatened and Endangered CFO = Confined Feeding Operation CAFO = Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation AU = Animal Units Ft. = Feet

# = Number Mi. = Miles